

United States Patent and Trademark Office

04

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/819,074	06/05/2001	Denwood F. Ross III	VTN-423	3421
23377	7590 12/24/2003	•	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE	
1650 MARKI	TY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR ET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103		2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 4 11 14 1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/819,074	ROSS ET AL.	·
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Constantine Hannaher	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper relich places the application	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the date form: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1.⊠ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>31 July 2003</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			h in
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furtle	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): See Continuation Shee	<u>t</u> .	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		10.1-	·
		Constantine Hanna Primary Examine	iher er

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/819,074

Application No.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): of claims under 102(e) and 103(a) in view of Duggan et al. (US006124594A).

Continuation of 10. Other: Claim 1 is considered to recite at least two species which the Office has held in related applications to be distinct but between which it has not required election, that is, a Markush-type generic claim (MPEP 803.02). On that basis, applicant's argument in view of MPEP 715.02 is accepted. The declaration filed on 31 July 2003 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Duggan et al. (US006124594A) reference.